•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/085,997	LANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>	
(1) Gregory R. Del Cotto.	(3)	
(2) Richard Silverman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 February 2006	Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Tof the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
1 Millitto		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend claim 1 by reciting that "a nonionic surfactant" and "a cellulase enzyme" are required components. Additionally, Applicant agreed to cancel claims 2 and 8 and make any other additional changes as indicated in the attached Examiner's Amendment..